

Charity on Sexism

During my conversations in 1995 with Charity, a Professor CIE and my spiritual mentor, we covered such areas as sexism, as mentioned in the New Testament. Below is a transcript of one of those conversations.

Ralph: We have this one. This is a good one. "I praise you because you always remember me and follow the teachings that I have handed on to you, but I want you to understand that Christ is supreme over every man, the husband is supreme over his wife, and God is supreme over Christ. So a man who prays or proclaims his message in public worship with his head covered praises Christ. And any woman who prays or proclaims God's message in public worship with nothing on her head disgraces her husband and there is no difference between her and a woman whose head has been shaved. If a woman does not cover her head, she might as well cut her hair. And since it is a shameful thing for a woman to shave her head, or cut her hair, she should cover her head. A man has no need to cover his head because he reflects the image and glory of God. A woman reflects the glory of man because man is not created from woman but woman from man." [1 Corinthians 11:2-7]

Charity: We don't understand.

Ralph: That is what we call a sexist statement.

Charity: It is putting down another human being. You should not put down another human being. Why would a part of The Great Teacher choose to put down another human being?

Ralph: All I can say is that this is one of the documents that was declared proper to put in The Creator's own book. It is being used by certain groups to teach young men how to treat their wives.

Charity: That way?

Ralph: There is a movement right now I read about.

Charity: They will destroy part of the human population by that kind of rule.

Ralph: But they put this in Christ's own words in here. In quotes, that makes it very

powerful. How can they argue with it? [Actually, it is Paul's words.]

Charity: Why would part of the Great Teacher ever say anything in the avenue of hate, that's the best word we can think of, and put them on another species that The Creator created? And part of The Great Teacher is supposed to be the Son of The Creator. Why would The Creator deem for his Son to say those things to something The Creator has created?

Ralph: I have no answer, of course.

Charity: We would like to know why.

Ralph: I've read these other books, also, and you commented that mankind decided originally to be male dominated. Well, this supports male domination. And in those days that this was existing, two thousand years ago, clearly woman were not allowed to have any political rights; they were practically owned by their husbands. All of this I think you can see could well have been manufactured to support that, to keep everybody in their place. That there would be peace in the land.

Charity: But it does not keep peace in this generation, because the quote of the species is rebelling against that oppression, so why are the humans trying to state the same thing and using oppression as a way of putting another species into control mechanism? First of all, The Creator is not going to put a control mechanism on any other human. That is why the Essences have a difficult time most times to get their message across to the human population. So if you can answer our question, please feel free to try.

Ralph: You didn't find me toting this around as a guide to my living, did you? I'm just pointing out that I think those explorations of the other gospels clearly indicate that Christ probably never said any of this.

Charity: Of course not

Ralph: And as a matter of fact, there is a whole group in California that meets together to decide what's likely what he said and what he didn't say. And this I don't think would pass muster. But that kind of attitude of judging what Christ said or didn't say is not accepted by these conservative religious people, because everything in there is equally true from their point of view. They have no other standard. You have another standard; they don't.

Charity: These humans, don't they use their own thoughts, their own reasoning tools?