

Discussion between Ralph Allison ® and Charity © a Professor CIE.

ABORTION

Charity: And they have been excluded, have they not? Some religions also state if a human performs abortions, they are wrong and should be destroyed. Correct?

Ralph: The churches don't say they should be destroyed. Certain individuals will say they should be destroyed.

C: There have been churches that state it is wrong to do that and any human who does that should be destroyed.

R: I don't know that latter part to be true.

C: They use the quote from the book "Thou shalt not kill" as a justification for bringing about change.

R: That is complicated by their attempts to make a determination as to when life begins and you therefore have an independent person who has a right to life. They don't see your point of view as the first breath being the start of the whole life. They see it as being anywhere in the nine months

C: What they see is what the humans have decided to be the avenue of taking pictures inside the human female and seeing the heart beating.

R: It is more - there are different standards, that is one of them.

C: But that is what the humans judge as Life; they only see it as a physical sign

R: Right.

C: They do not know what happens to make the human a whole human.

R: The most usable one is an estimate as to when the fetus is old enough, if born, to physically survive outside the mother. That is – if it were outside, then it could be independent. If it is too young to be outside, it is not a person, it is still an attachment to the mother. Like a finger or an appendix, or something like that. But obviously they don't have your concept of the Essence coming into it at a given point. That is simply not part of the belief system.

C: How do they think that the human as they stated that the soul comes in?

R: They haven't explained that to me. So I really don't have an answer to that one.

C: Now a question. By explaining this avenue to the humans, when is it physically explained when the soul enters?

R: I haven't heard them discuss that, frankly. Again they look to doctors. They have an opinion, it can occur at the point of conception, when the egg and the sperm get together. That is the ultimate beginning, and then at some point along the line when development is sufficient if the child were to be born, it would be able to have its heart beat and breathe, outside the mother.

C: In other words, it is a physical carcass.

R: But as we would say, if they were physically able and they were born, then the Essence would join it at that moment and it would be a full individual.

C: Correct. It's not a full individual if it is still inside a human being.

R: But that is what they are talking about. If it is capable of being outside a human being, therefore, because it is a potential a human being it is different than like your appendix or your stomach or some other part of your body that is never going to be living outside independently.

C: It is not a human being.

R: They have slightly different definitions of what a human being is than you do. And therefore we will continue to have an argument, because there is no settlement of an argument. It is like the False Memory Syndrome, they are both wrong on both sides.

C: We are not arguing.

R: But they are. They are arguing back and forth because neither one has a basis for being righter than the other one. I like your system. I'm just saying that is what they are looking at, because they can't with their eyes the Essence coming in. And how can we prove the Essence is

in at that point, if we can't see it. That would be their question. But they can see if the heart heats, or if the breathing is OK.

C: They can see the physical symptoms, the physical parts, but they cannot understand what they cannot see – the energy level coming into the human being.

R: They don't have any x-rays for that.

R: How are you going to get all those people to do those kinds of things? If they don't come in through a religion where they get indoctrinated? At the same time you got a lot of religious people in those groups who were not very nice. Stories of the nuns who beat the little children and things like that, and the priests that molested them in the school down in Santa Barbara. There were a lot of unpleasanties with children they were in charge of. So are you planning on filling the gaps that religions – right now they are having a very hard time recruiting new priests and nuns.

C: We know.

R: There are not many people interested in that career.

C: That should explain that, should it not? That should tell you how the religions are going to be.

R: They are getting wilder and wilder with all these radicals who are bombing abortion mills,

C: Humans do not want to associate with those kind of Christians. And the religions as they are will not be existing. As you can see with the shift in the avenue that the religions have to decrease at the same time the humans become involved with their Essences.