

This is a conversation between Ralph Allison and Charity, a Professor CIE.

LAWYERS

Charity: So what has happened now is that the journalists have Essences that – The first lifetimes, as we have told you, is for the preachers. Usually the next mode is the journalist mode. After that is usually your lawyer's mode. Then you have various other modes. Then its usually after that the Doctor mode. Then it starts working itself back down again.

Ralph: And see that is where we are going overboard on that because, as a human doctor, if I don't put someone on life support, I can be accused of killing them and violating the first commandment.

C: But you are not.

R: Tell that to our lawyers. They will take my license away for that.

C: You are following what the space we reside in dictates.

R: As I told Linda, when I was on Emergency room duty, my Essence knew that, all the doctors and nurses know that. It is the other folks telling us how to operate that gets in the way.

C: Correct.

R: If they would leave us alone, it wouldn't be a problem. You don't have people wanting people to die quickly. You are doing everything you can to maintain them, but you are not being foolish about it. You evaluate everything right there and you make judgements. There is nothing wrong with those judgements. The Essences are all there working together. I understand that.

Unfortunately, lawyers don't. Do lawyers have Essences? I'm not sure they do.

C: We just told you.

R: I'm kidding, but it seems as if they have forgot somehow that it's in there somewhere, cause they are not using those principles at all.

C: We understand that.

R: Now if you CIE had so much to do with AIDS, you could have stopped it. Because then they could have done the things they already knew how to do with the other diseases. But now we can't even look for contacts and warn them. You didn't need a law to deal with AIDS. The lawyers ruined it by this

Faith: Human's free will changed it, the avenues.

R: I'm saying that inasmuch as the other diseases all operate in the same way with human beings. we don't require laws to protect confidentiality.

F: OK

R: Somewhere along the line, and intervention could have occurred where the lawmakers didn't pass that law.

F: When that happened, did you need to anymore? ???? Did you need ourselves?

R: You're not going to tell me that was a necessary law.

F: We are not going to make a judgement call

R: I don't think you wanted the law.

F: We are not going to talk about that and human free will.

R: Human free will is what makes all the laws.

F: Thank you.

R: You haven't got any laws.

F: We have no rules.

R: You just have individual choices at the time of making choices.

F: Correct. All avenues of choices.

R: No legal ethics, only situational ethics. Basically. Each person is unique unto all others.

F: correct

R: Well, one of the major rules that messes it up is what I call the Fairness Doctrine. That everyone must be dealt with fairly, meaning equally to all people, and that is not part of your

code.

C: No. Each human has to experience their life plan that they are set upon this time to experience. You humans want to change.

R: That is where our lawyer friends have been propounding that very vigorously and they are getting control of the rules and regulations for all these things.

C: Correct.

R: Now maybe you can do something about the types of lawyers we get. Can you improve their spirituality?

C: No.

R: Now come on, that would seem to be the most effective way to improve the system.

C: No, they don't have enough time and experiences to be able to improve them.

R: They are not terribly advanced.

C: No.

R: So they are in need of a lot of control.

C: Correct.

R: Now I have to deal with them at work.

C: Correct.

R: It's a challenge.